## Lecture 1

Introduction to lossless compression

Plan: Lecture 1-3: theory and concepts from information theory

## A simple probability distribution

Consider:

- Alphabet $\mathcal{X}=\{A, B, C, D\}$
- Uniform probability distribution: $P(A)=P(B)=P(C)=P(D)=\frac{1}{4}$

A text file generating by independently sampling one million symbols from this distribution:
\$ cat abcd.txt
ACABDADCBDDC....

What is the size of this file?

## Bits and bytes

bit: a unit of information expressed as either a 0 or 1 in binary notation.
byte: a group of eight bits operated on as a unit.
1 byte $(B)=8$ bits
1 kilobyte $(\mathrm{KB})=1000$ bytes $=8000$ bits
So on for MB, GB, TB, PB, EB, ...
Note: Sometimes we like to use powers of two, e.g., 1 kilobyte $=1024$ bytes.

## abcd.txt

Size on disk: 1 MB (1 million bytes).
Why 1 byte per letter/character?

## ASCII TABLE

| Decimal | Hex | Char | Decimal | Hex | Char | Decimal | Hex | Char | Decimal | Hex | Char |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 0 | 0 | [NULL] | 32 | 20 | [SPACE] | 64 | 40 | © | 96 | 60 |  |
| 1 | 1 | [START OF HEADING] | 33 | 21 | ! | 65 | 41 | A | 97 | 61 | a |
| 2 | 2 | [START OF TEXT] | 34 | 22 | " | 66 | 42 | B | 98 | 62 | b |
| 3 | 3 | [END OF TEXT] | 35 | 23 | \# | 67 | 43 | C | 99 | 63 | c |
| 4 | 4 | [END OF TRANSMISSTON] | 36 | 24 | \$ | 68 | 44 | D | 100 | 64 | d |
| 5 | 5 | [ENQUIRY] | 37 | 25 | \% | 69 | 45 | E | 101 | 65 | e |
| 6 | 6 | [ACKNOWLEDGE] | 38 | 26 | \& | 70 | 46 | F | 102 | 66 | $f$ |
| 7 | 7 | [BELL] | 39 | 27 | 1 | 71 | 47 | G | 103 | 67 | g |
| 8 | 8 | [BACKSPACE] | 40 | 28 | 1 | 72 | 48 | H | 104 | 68 | h |
| 9 | 9 | [HORIZONTAL TAB] | 41 | 29 | ) | 73 | 49 | 1 | 105 | 69 | i |
| 10 | A | [LINE FEED] | 42 | 2A | , | 74 | 4A | J | 106 | 6 A | j |
| 11 | B | [VERTICAL TAB] | 43 | 2B | + | 75 | 4B | K | 107 | 6B | k |
| 12 | C | [FORM FEED] | 44 | 2C | , | 76 | 4 C | L | 108 | 6C | I |
| 13 | D | [CARRIAGE RETURN] | 45 | 2D | - | 77 | 4D | M | 109 | 6D | m |
| 14 | E | [SHITT OUT] | 46 | 2E | . | 78 | 4E | N | 110 | 6 E | n |
| 15 | F | [SHIFT IN] | 47 | 2 F | 1 | 79 | 4F | 0 | 111 | 6 F | 0 |
| 16 | 10 | [DATA LINK ESCAPE] | 48 | 30 | 0 | 80 | 50 | P | 112 | 70 | p |
| 17 | 11 | [DEVICE CONTROL 1] | 49 | 31 | 1 | 81 | 51 | Q | 113 | 71 | q |
| 18 | 12 | [DEVICE CONTROL 2] | 50 | 32 | 2 | 82 | 52 | R | 114 | 72 | r |
| 19 | 13 | [DEVICE CONTROL 3] | 51 | 33 | 3 | 83 | 53 | S | 115 | 73 | 5 |
| 20 | 14 | [DEVICE CONTROL 4] | 52 | 34 | 4 | 84 | 54 | T | 116 | 74 | t |
| 21 | 15 | [NEGATIVE ACKNOWLEDGE] | 53 | 35 | 5 | 85 | 55 | U | 117 | 75 | u |
| 22 | 16 | [SYNCHRONOUS IDLE] | 54 | 36 | 6 | 86 | 56 | v | 118 | 76 | v |
| 23 | 17 | [END OF TRANS. BLOCK] | 55 | 37 | 7 | 87 | 57 | w | 119 | 77 | w |
| 24 | 18 | [CANCEL] | 56 | 38 | 8 | 88 | 58 | X | 120 | 78 | x |
| 25 | 19 | [END OF MEDIUM] | 57 | 39 | 9 | 89 | 59 | Y | 121 | 79 | $y$ |
| 26 | 1 A | [SUBSTITUTE] | 58 | 3 A | : | 90 | 5A | z | 122 | 7 A | z |
| 27 | 1 B | [ESCAPE] | 59 | 3B | ; | 91 | 5B | [ | 123 | 7B | $\{$ |
| 28 | 1 C | [FILE SEPARATOR] | 60 | 3 C | < | 92 | 5 C | 1 | 124 | 7 C | 1 |
| 29 | 1D | [GROUP SEPARATOR] | 61 | 3D | = | 93 | 5D | ] | 125 | 7D | \} |
| 30 | 1 E | [RECORD SEPARATOR] | 62 | 3 E | > | 94 | 5 E | $\wedge$ | 126 | 7E | $\sim$ |
| 31 | 1 F | [UNIT SEPARATOR] | 63 | 3F | ? | 95 | 5 F | - | 127 | 7F | [DEL] |

## ASCII Table

| Symbol | ASCII code |
| :--- | :--- |
| A | 1000001 |
| B | 1000010 |
| C | 1000011 |
| D | 1000100 |

8 bits $=1$ byte per symbol.
Can we do better?

## Fixed bitwidth code

| Symbol | Code |
| :--- | :--- |
| A | 00 |
| B | 01 |
| C | 10 |
| D | 11 |

Bits/symbol?
Decoding?

## Fixed bitwidth code

$k=|\mathcal{S}|$ different symbols implies at least $\left\lceil\log _{2} k\right\rceil$ bits per symbol in a fixed bitwidth code.

Can we do better? In the uniform distribution example above?

## Uniform distribution

| Symbol | Probability |
| :--- | :--- |
| A | 0.5 |
| B | 0.5 |

Fixed bitwidth code: 1 bit/symbol

## Non-uniform distribution

| Symbol | Probability |
| :--- | :--- |
| A | 0.49 |
| B | 0.49 |
| C | 0.01 |
| D | 0.01 |

Fixed bitwidth code: 2 bits/symbol
Can we do better? Closer to the previous page's 1 bit/base?

## Non-uniform distribution

| Symbol | Probability |
| :--- | :--- |
| A | 0.49 |
| B | 0.49 |
| C | 0.01 |
| D | 0.01 |

Solution 1: C and D are low probability, let's just lose them - Lossy Compression (not commonly used for text/database/log data).

## Non-uniform distribution

| Symbol | Probability |
| :--- | :--- |
| A | 0.49 |
| B | 0.49 |
| C | 0.01 |
| D | 0.01 |

Solution 2: Variable length codes: Use fewer bits for more probable symbols.

## Variable length codes

Use fewer bits for more probable symbols

| Symbol | Probability | Code |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| A | 0.49 | 0 |
| B | 0.49 | 10 |
| C | 0.01 | 110 |
| D | 0.01 | 111 |

How to evaluate coding efficiency? Expected code length.

## Expected code length

"Compressed size/Uncompressed size" - often in units bits/symbol.
Also sometimes called compression rate/compression ratio.
Warning: There's some variability in notation and definitions of these terms so be careful.

Let $l(x)$ denote the code length for symbol $x$ with probability $P(x)$, where $x \in \mathcal{X}$.
Expected code length: $\mathbb{E}[l(X)]=\sum_{x \in \mathcal{X}} P(x) l(x)$

## Expected code length

| Symbol | Probability | Code |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| A | 0.49 | 0 |
| B | 0.49 | 10 |
| C | 0.01 | 110 |
| D | 0.01 | 111 |

Expected code length: $\mathbb{E}[l(X)]=$ ?

## Expected code length

| Symbol | Probability | Code | $l(x)$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| A | 0.49 | 0 | 1 |
| B | 0.49 | 10 | 2 |
| C | 0.01 | 110 | 3 |
| D | 0.01 | 111 | 3 |

$\mathbb{E}[l(X)]=0.49 \times 1+0.49 \times 2+0.01 \times 3+0.01 \times 3=1.53$ bits $/$ symbol

## Thoughts and conclusion

- Is the code above lossless? Can you decode it? <- homework for next lecture!
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## Thoughts and conclusion

- Is the code above lossless? Can you decode it? <- homework for next lecture!
- The non-uniform distribution above seems "worse" but "similar" to the uniform distribution on just A and B .
- In the next few lectures, we will learn how to compute the optimal compression rate and how we can get close to 1.14 bits/symbol for the above distribution (and no better).

Thank you!

